

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/058,907	01/28/2002	Ernest P. Brody	LL11.12-0051	5175
7590 05/27/2004			EXAMINER	
Z. PETER SAWICKI			KOSAR, ANDREW D	
WESTMAN, CHAMPLIN, AND KELLY, P.A. 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1600 INTERNATIONAL CENTRE MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3319			1654	-
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/058,907	BRODY, ERNEST P.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew D. Kosar	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·					
,	This action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Example 2.	drawn from consideration. /or election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contact 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the ce	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	· —	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	/	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1654

SUPPLEMENTAL DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-60 are pending in this application. The restriction requirements herein replace the previous restriction mailed May 18, 2004.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Groups 1-4, drawn to methods of fractionating and processing proteinaceous material:
 - Claims 1-25, drawn to a *first* method a method of fractionating a
 first proteinaceous material via heating to an elevated temperature,
 classified in class 514, subclass 2, for example.
 - Claims 1-25, drawn to a second method a method of fractionating a second proteinaceous material via pH greater than about 7, classified in class 514, subclass 2, for example.
 - 3. Claims 26-45, drawn to a *third* method a method of processing a proteinaceous material comprising a κ-casein macropeptide, classified in class 514, subclass 21, for example.
 - 4. Claims 46-51, drawn to a *fourth* method a method of fractionating a proteinaceous material comprising a κ-casein macropeptide, classified in class 514, subclass 21, for example.
- II. Groups 5 and 6, drawn to products:
 - 5. Claims 52-56, drawn to a first product a polymerized protein material, classified in class 530, subclass 350, for example.

Application/Control Number: 10/058,907

Art Unit: 1654

60

6. Claims 57-59, drawn to a second product – a κ-casein macropeptide-enriched powder, classified in class 424, subclass 489, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I (distinct processes) and II (distinct products) are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, as evidenced by the claims themselves, the various and distinct protein materials of Group IV-V can be made via one or more of the distinct preparatory processes of Groups I-III.

The methods of Groups 1-4 are distinct each from the other - i.e., they are directed to different inventions which are not connected in design, operation, and/or effect - including each method having different and distinct preparatory steps therein. These methods are independent since they are not disclosed as capable of use together, they have different modes of operation, they have different functions, and/or they have different effects. One would not have to practice the various methods at the same time to practice just one method alone.

The products of Groups 5 and 6 are distinct each from the other. For example, the product of Group 5 is a polymerized protein material having several physical properties which are not necessarily shared by the product of Group 6; whereas the

Application/Control Number: 10/058,907

Art Unit: 1654

product of Group 6 is a κ-casein macropeptide-enriched powder having various physical properties which are not necessarily shared by the product of Group 5.

The several inventions above are independent and distinct, each from the other. They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches (as indicated by the different classification). The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference which would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even make obvious another group. Finally, the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all of the above inventions in one application.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one Group is not necessarily required for the other Groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

If Applicant elects from Group 1 or 2:

Claims 1-5 and 9-25 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising an elevated temperature. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Application/Control Number: 10/058,907

Art Unit: 1654

Claims 1-13 and 22-25 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising a filtration membrane. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Claims 14 and 15 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising a microfiltration membrane. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of microfiltration membrane (pore diameter), even though this requirement is traversed.

If Applicant elects from Group 4 (Claims 46-51):

Claims 46-51 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising a pH. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of pH, even though this requirement is traversed.

Claims 46-51 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising an elevated temperature. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of temperature, even though this requirement is traversed.

Claims 46-51 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising a heated holding period. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species of holding period (length of time), even though this requirement is traversed.

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the

Application/Control Number: 10/058,907 Page 6

Art Unit: 1654

case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew D. Kosar whose telephone number is (571)272-0913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8am-430pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on (571)272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia Leith
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1654

Andrew D. Kosar 25 May 2004

> PATRICIA LEITH PRIMARY EXAMINER